

# Ad-hoc motivation of some QM wave equations.

Peeter Joot peeter.joot@gmail.com

Dec 13, 2008. Last Revision: *Date* : 2009/04/3004 : 23 : 49

## Contents

<b>1 Motivation.</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Non-Relativistic case. . . . .	1
1.2 Relativistic case. . . . .	2

## 1 Motivation.

### 1.1 Non-Relativistic case.

A common (cf: wikipedia and [French and Taylor(1998)]) introductory motivation for the non-relativistic Schrödinger's equation appears to follow the following lines. Assume that we desire a plane wave equation of the following form

$$\psi = \exp(i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t))$$

plus a requirement that we have a total energy that can be expressed in terms of kinetic plus potential

$$E = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + V \tag{1}$$

We also have the Einstein relationship

$$E = hv = \frac{h}{2\pi} 2\pi v = \hbar\omega \tag{2}$$

and the Debroglie Hypothosis for the magnitude of the momentum of a particle

$$p = \frac{h}{\lambda}.$$

In terms of wave number  $2\pi k = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  this last is

$$p = \frac{h}{2\pi}k = \hbar k.$$

or in three dimensions

$$\mathbf{p} = \hbar \mathbf{k}.$$

Taking derivatives of the postulated wave function one has

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -i\omega \psi \quad (3)$$

and

$$\nabla^2 \psi = i^2 \sum_j k_j^2 \psi = -\mathbf{k}^2 \psi \quad (4)$$

From the energy relationship, if one requires that

$$E\psi = \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + V \right) \psi \quad (5)$$

$$\hbar\omega\psi = \left( \hbar^2 \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2m} + V \right) \psi \quad (6)$$

$$(7)$$

and then substituting the derivatives from equations 3 and 4 we have

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V \right) \psi$$

## 1.2 Relativistic case.

The relativistic force free Schrödinger's equation is motivated by [Srednicki(2007)] replacing the Hamiltonian operator  $H = \mathbf{P}^2/2m$  with

$$H = \sqrt{m^2c^4 + \mathbf{P}^2c^2} \approx mc^2 + \mathbf{P}^2/2m$$

for

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \sqrt{-\hbar^2 c^2 \nabla^2 + m^2 c^4} \psi$$

then squaring the operators on both sides, removing the root:

$$\begin{aligned} -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{(\partial x^0)^2} &= (-\hbar^2 \nabla^2 + m^2 c^2) \psi \\ -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{(\partial x^0)^2} + \hbar^2 \nabla^2 &= m^2 c^2 \psi \end{aligned}$$

Which is called the Klein-Gordon equation:

$$\left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2} m c^2 \right) \psi = 0$$

The Noether's theorem wikipedia article, and Klein-Gordon pages give the action as (removing use of natural units and changing to a + - - - metric) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= -\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \psi \partial_\nu \psi^* + \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \psi \psi^* \\ &= -\partial^\nu \psi \partial_\nu \psi^* + \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \psi \psi^* \end{aligned}$$

This first term is a squared spacetime gradient

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \psi) \cdot (\nabla \psi^*) &= (\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi) \cdot (\gamma_\nu \partial^\nu \psi^*) \\ &= \delta^\mu_\nu \partial_\mu \psi \partial^\nu \psi^* \\ &= \partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi^* \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= -\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \psi \partial_\nu \psi^* + \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \psi \psi^* \\ &= -(\nabla \psi) \cdot (\nabla \psi^*) + \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \psi \psi^* \end{aligned}$$

So, here we expect to get a spacetime Laplacian, like the Maxwell potential equation, and one does:

$$-\nabla^2\psi = \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\psi$$

Now, the Srednicki text indicates that Dirac linearized this equation, to get back something that was first order in the time derivative.

I don't yet follow that description, but see that a linearization is possible by taking roots of the operators above, undoing the somewhat fishy seeming squaring done to arrive at the Klein-Gordon equation in the first place

$$i\hbar\nabla\psi = \pm mc\psi \tag{8}$$

Is this equivalent to Dirac's formulation? Comparison to , where the dirac equation is given in covariant form

$$i\hbar\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - mc\psi = 0$$

which is the positive variant of 8.

What is the Lagrangian that is associated with this? The most probable interpretation of  $i$  here is the Minkowski pseudoscalar, as opposed to a unit bivector of spacetime vectors or some other geometrical object with  $-1$  square.

## References

[French and Taylor(1998)] A.P. French and E.F. Taylor. *An Introduction to Quantum Physics*. CRC Press, 1998.

[Srednicki(2007)] M.A. Srednicki. *Quantum Field Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.