

# A problem on spherical harmonics.

Originally appeared at:

<http://sites.google.com/site/peeterjoot/math2010/qmIexam2010.pdf>

Peeter Joot — [peeter.joot@gmail.com](mailto:peeter.joot@gmail.com)

Jan 9, 2011 *qmIexam2010.tex*

---

## Contents

<b>1 Motivation.</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Evolved state.	1
1.2 Probabilities for $L_z$ measurement outcomes.	2
1.3 Probabilities for $L^2$ measurement outcomes.	2

### 1. Motivation.

One of the PHY356 exam questions from the final I recall screwing up on, and figuring it out after the fact on the drive home. The question actually clarified a difficulty I'd had, but unfortunately I hadn't had the good luck to perform such a question, to help figure this out before the exam.

From what I recall the question provided an initial state, with some degeneracy in  $m$ , perhaps of the following form

$$|\phi(0)\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{7}}|12\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{7}}|10\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{4}{7}}|20\rangle, \quad (1)$$

and a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H = \alpha L_z \quad (2)$$

From what I recall of the problem, I am going to reattempt it here now.

#### 1.1. Evolved state.

One part of the question was to calculate the evolved state. Application of the time evolution operator gives us

$$|\phi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\alpha L_z t/\hbar} \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{7}}|12\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{7}}|10\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{4}{7}}|20\rangle \right). \quad (3)$$

Now we note that  $L_z|12\rangle = 2\hbar|12\rangle$ , and  $L_z|10\rangle = 0|10\rangle$ , so the exponentials reduce this nicely to just

$$|\phi(t)\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{7}}e^{-2i\alpha t}|12\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{7}}|10\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{4}{7}}|20\rangle. \quad (4)$$

### 1.2. Probabilities for $L_z$ measurement outcomes.

I believe we were also asked what the probabilities for the outcomes of a measurement of  $L_z$  at this time would be. Here is one place that I think that I messed up, and it is really a translation error, attempting to get from the english description of the problem to the math description of the same. I'd had trouble with this process a few times in the problems, and managed to blunder through use of language like "measure", and "outcome", but don't think I really understood how these were used properly.

What are the outcomes that we measure? We measure operators, but the result of a measurement is the eigenvalue associated with the operator. What are the eigenvalues of the  $L_z$  operator? These are the  $m\hbar$  values, from the operation  $L_z|lm\rangle = m\hbar|lm\rangle$ . So, given this initial state, there are really two outcomes that are possible, since we have two distinct eigenvalues. These are  $2\hbar$  and  $0$  for  $m = 2$ , and  $m = 0$  respectively.

A measurement of the "outcome"  $2\hbar$ , will be the probability associated with the amplitude  $\langle 12|\phi(t)\rangle$  (ie: the absolute square of this value). That is

$$|\langle 12|\phi(t)\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{7}. \quad (5)$$

Now, the only other outcome for a measurement of  $L_z$  for this state is a measurement of  $0\hbar$ , and the probability of this is then just  $1 - \frac{1}{7} = \frac{6}{7}$ . On the exam, I think I listed probabilities for three outcomes, with values  $\frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{7}, \frac{4}{7}$  respectively, but in retrospect that seems blatantly wrong.

### 1.3. Probabilities for $L^2$ measurement outcomes.

What are the probabilities for the outcomes for a measurement of  $L^2$  after this? The first question is really what are the outcomes. That's really a question of what are the possible eigenvalues of  $L^2$  that can be measured at this point. Recall that we have

$$L^2|lm\rangle = \hbar^2 l(l+1)|lm\rangle \quad (6)$$

So for a state that has only  $l = 1, 2$  contributions before the measurement, the eigenvalues that can be observed for the  $L^2$  operator are respectively  $2\hbar^2$  and  $6\hbar^2$  respectively.

For the  $l = 2$  case, our probability is  $4/7$ , leaving  $3/7$  as the probability for measurement of the  $l = 1$  ( $2\hbar^2$ ) eigenvalue. We can compute this two ways, and it seems worthwhile to consider both. This first method makes use of the fact that the  $L_z$  operator leaves the state vector intact, but it also seems like a bit of a cheat. Consider instead two possible results of measurement after the  $L_z$  observation. When an  $L_z$  measurement of  $0\hbar$  is performed our state will be left with only the  $m = 0$  kets. That is

$$|\psi_a\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|10\rangle + \sqrt{2}|20\rangle), \quad (7)$$

whereas, when a  $2\hbar$  measurement of  $L_z$  is performed our state would then only have the  $m = 2$  contribution, and would be

$$|\psi_b\rangle = e^{-2iat}|12\rangle. \quad (8)$$

We have two possible ways of measuring the  $2\hbar^2$  eigenvalue for  $L^2$ . One is when our state was  $|\psi_a\rangle$  (, and the resulting state has a  $|10\rangle$  component, and the other is after the  $m = 2$  measurement, where our state is left with a  $|12\rangle$  component.

The resulting probability is then a conditional probability result

$$\frac{6}{7}|\langle 10|\psi_a\rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{7}|\langle 12|\psi_b\rangle|^2 = \frac{3}{7} \quad (9)$$

The result is the same, as expected, but this is likely a more convincing argument.