

PHY450H1S. Relativistic Electrodynamics Lecture 24 (Taught by Prof. Erich Poppitz). Non-relativistic electrostatic Lagrangian.

Originally appeared at:

<http://sites.google.com/site/peeterjoot/math2011/relativisticElectrodynamicsL24.pdf>

Peeter Joot — peeter.joot@gmail.com

Mar 30, 2011 *relativisticElectrodynamicsL24.tex*

1. Reading.

Covering chapter 5 §37, and chapter 8 §65 material from the text [1].

Covering pp. 181-195: the Lagrangian for a system of non relativistic charged particles to zeroth order in (v/c) : electrostatic energy of a system of charges and mass renormalization.

2. A closed system of charged particles.

Consider a closed system of charged particles (m_a, q_a) and imagine there is a frame where they are non-relativistic $v_a/c \ll 1$. In this case we can describe the dynamics using a Lagrangian only for particles. i.e.

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_N) \quad (1)$$

If we work to order $(v/c)^2$.

If we try to go to $O((v/c)^3)$, it's difficult to only use \mathcal{L} for particles.

This can be inferred from

$$P = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^2}{c^3} |\ddot{\mathbf{d}}|^2 \quad (2)$$

because at this order, due to radiation effects, we need to include EM field as dynamical.

3. Start simple

Start with a system of (non-relativistic) free particles

S

So in the non-relativistic limit, after dropping the constant term that doesn't effect the dynamics, our Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{v}_a) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a m_a \mathbf{v}_a^2 - \frac{1}{8} \frac{m_a \mathbf{v}_a^4}{c^2} \quad (3)$$

The first term is $O((v/c)^0)$ where the second is $O((v/c)^2)$.

Next include the fact that particles are charged.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{interaction}} = \sum_a \left(q_a \frac{\mathbf{v}_a}{c} \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_a, t) - q_a \phi(\mathbf{x}_a, t) \right) \quad (4)$$

Here, working to $O((v/c)^0)$, where we consider the particles moving so slowly that we have only a Coulomb potential ϕ , not \mathbf{A} .

HERE: these are NOT 'EXTERNAL' potentials. They are caused by all the charged particles.

$$\partial_i F^{il} = \frac{4\pi}{c} j^l = 4\pi\rho \quad (5)$$

For $l = \alpha$ we have $4\pi\rho\mathbf{v}/c$, but we won't do this today (tomorrow).

To leading order in v/c , particles only created Coulomb fields and they only "feel" Coulomb fields. Hence to $O((v/c)^0)$, we have

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_a \frac{m_a \mathbf{v}_a^2}{2} - q_a \phi(\mathbf{x}_a, t) \quad (6)$$

What's the $\phi(\mathbf{x}_a, t)$, the Coulomb field created by all the particles.

How to find?

$$\partial_i F^{i0} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \rho = 4\pi\rho \quad (7)$$

or

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi\rho = -\nabla^2\phi \quad (8)$$

where

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_a q_a \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a(t)) \quad (9)$$

This is a Poisson equation

$$\Delta\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_a q_a 4\pi\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a) \quad (10)$$

(where the time dependence has been suppressed). This has solution

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_b \frac{q_b}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_b(t)|} \quad (11)$$

This is the sum of instantaneous Coulomb potentials of all particles at the point of interest. Hence, it appears that $\phi(\mathbf{x}_a, t)$ should be evaluated in **11** at \mathbf{x}_a ?

However **11** becomes infinite due to contributions of the a-th particle itself. Solution to this is to drop the term, but let's discuss this first.

Let's talk about the electrostatic energy of our system of particles.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E} &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^3\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \mathbf{E} \cdot (-\nabla\phi) \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^3\mathbf{x} (\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E}\phi) - \phi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \oint d^2\sigma \cdot \mathbf{E}\phi + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \phi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}
\end{aligned}$$

The first term is zero since $\mathbf{E}\phi$ for a localized system of charges $\sim 1/r^3$ or higher as $V \rightarrow \infty$. In the second term

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \sum_a q_a \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a(t)) \quad (12)$$

So we have

$$\sum_a \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{x} q_a \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a) \phi(\mathbf{x}) \quad (13)$$

for

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a q_a \phi(\mathbf{x}_a) \quad (14)$$

Now substitute 11 into 14 for

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \frac{q_a^2}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \neq b} \frac{q_a q_b}{|\mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b|} \quad (15)$$

or

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \frac{q_a^2}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a|} + \sum_{a < b} \frac{q_a q_b}{|\mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b|} \quad (16)$$

The first term is the sum of the electrostatic self energies of all particles. The source of this infinite self energy is in assuming a point like nature of the particle. i.e. We modeled the charge using a delta function instead of using a continuous charge distribution.

Recall that if you have a charged sphere of radius r

PICTURE: total charge q , radius r , our electrostatic energy is

$$\mathcal{E} \sim \frac{q^2}{r} \quad (17)$$

Stipulate that rest energy $m_e c^2$ is all of electrostatic origin $\sim e^2/r_e$ we get that

$$r_e \sim \frac{e^2}{m_e c^2} \quad (18)$$

This is called the classical radius of the electron, and is of a very small scale 10^{-13} cm.

As a matter of fact the applicability of classical electrodynamics breaks down much sooner than this scale since quantum effects start kicking in.

Our Lagrangian is now

$$\mathcal{L}_a = \frac{1}{2}m_a\mathbf{v}_a^2 - q_a\phi(\mathbf{x}_a, t) \quad (19)$$

where ϕ is the electrostatic potential due to all other particles, so we have

$$\mathcal{L}_a = \frac{1}{2}m_a\mathbf{v}_a^2 - \sum_{a \neq b} \frac{q_a q_b}{|\mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b|} \quad (20)$$

and for the system

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a m_a \mathbf{v}_a^2 - \sum_{a < b} \frac{q_a q_b}{|\mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b|} \quad (21)$$

This is THE Lagrangian for electrodynamics in the non-relativistic case, starting with the relativistic action.

4. What's next?

We continue to the next order of v/c tomorrow.

References

- [1] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz. *The classical theory of fields*. Butterworth-Heinemann, 1980. [1](#)