# On tensor product generators of the gamma matrices.

Originally appeared at: http://sites.google.com/site/peeterjoot/math2011/zeeTauMatrix.pdf

Peeter Joot — peeter.joot@gmail.com June 20, 2011 zeeTauMatrix.tex

## 1. Motivation.

In [1] he writes

$$\gamma^{0} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix} = I \otimes \tau_{3}$$
$$\gamma^{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma^{i} \\ \sigma^{i} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^{i} \otimes i\tau_{2}$$
$$\gamma^{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} = I \otimes \tau_{1}$$

The Pauli matrices  $\sigma^i$  I had seen, but not the  $\tau_i$  matrices, nor the  $\otimes$  notation. Strangerep in physicsforums points out that the  $\otimes$  is a Kronecker matrix product, a special kind of tensor product [2]. Let's do the exersize of reverse engineering the  $\tau$  matrices as suggested.

## 2. Guts

Let's start with  $\gamma^5$ . We want

$$\gamma^5 = I \otimes \tau_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I \tau_{11} & I \tau_{12} \\ I \tau_{21} & I \tau_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

By inspection we must have

$$\tau_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^1 \tag{2}$$

Thus  $\tau_1 = \sigma^1$ . How about  $\tau_2$ ? For that matrix we have

$$\gamma^{i} = \sigma^{i} \otimes i\tau_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^{i}\tau_{11} & \sigma^{i}\tau_{12} \\ \sigma^{i}\tau_{21} & \sigma^{i}\tau_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

Again by inspection we must have

$$i\tau_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},\tag{4}$$

so

$$\tau_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2.$$
(5)

This one is also just the Pauli matrix. For the last we have

$$\gamma^0 = I \otimes \tau_3 = \begin{bmatrix} I \tau_{11} & I \tau_{12} \\ I \tau_{21} & I \tau_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (6)

Our last tau matrix is thus

$$r_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^3.$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

Curious that there are two notations used in the same page for exactly the same thing? It appears that I wasn't the only person confused about this.

#### 3. The bivector expansion

Zee writes his wedge products with the commutator, adding a complex factor

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} \left[ \gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu} \right] \tag{8}$$

Let's try the direct product notation to expand  $\sigma^{0i}$  and  $\sigma^{ij}$ . That first is

$$\begin{split} \sigma^{0i} &= \frac{i}{2} \left( \gamma^0 \gamma^i - \gamma^i \gamma^0 \right) \\ &= i \gamma^0 \gamma^i \\ &= i (I \otimes \tau_3) (\sigma^i \otimes i \tau_2) \\ &= i^2 \sigma^i \otimes \tau_3 \tau_2 \\ &= -\sigma^i \otimes (-i \tau_1) \\ &= i \sigma^i \otimes \tau_1 \\ &= i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ \sigma^i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

which is what was expected. The second bivector, for i = j is zero, and for  $i \neq j$  is

$$\begin{split} \sigma^{ij} &= i\gamma^i\gamma^j \\ &= i(\sigma^i \otimes i\tau_2)(\sigma^j \otimes i\tau_2) \\ &= i^3(\sigma^i\sigma^j) \otimes I \\ &= i^4(\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma^k) \otimes I \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

#### References

- [1] A. Zee. Quantum field theory in a nutshell. Universities Press, 2005. 1
- [2] Wikipedia. Tensor product wikipedia, the free encyclopedia [online]. 2011. [Online; accessed 21-June-2011]. Available from: http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title= Tensor\_product&oldid=418002023. 1