Peeter Joot peeter.joot@gmail.com

ECE1254H Modeling of Multiphysics Systems. Lecture 16: LMS systems and stability. Taught by Prof. Piero Triverio

1.1 Disclaimer

Peeter's lecture notes from class. These may be incoherent and rough.

1.2 Residual for LMS methods

Mostly on slides: 12_ODS.pdf

Residual is illustrated in fig. 1.1, assuming that the iterative method was accurate until t_n



Figure 1.1: Residual illustrated

Summary

- FE : $R_{n+1} \sim (\Delta t)^2$. This is of order p = 1.
- BE : $R_{n+1} \sim (\Delta t)^2$. This is of order p = 1.

TR : $R_{n+1} \sim (\Delta t)^3$. This is of order p = 2.

BESTE : $R_{n+1} \sim (\Delta t)^4$. This is of order p = 3.

1.3 Global error estimate

Suppose $t \in [0, 1]s$, with $N = 1/\Delta t$ intervals. For a method with local error of order $R_{n+1} \sim (\Delta t)^2$ the global error is approximately $NR_{n+1} \sim \Delta t$.

1.4 Stability

Recall that a linear multistep method (LMS) was a system of the form

$$\sum_{j=-1}^{k-1} \alpha_j x_{n-j} = \Delta t \sum_{j=-1}^{k-1} \beta_j f(x_{n-j}, t_{n-j})$$
(1.1)

Consider a one dimensional test problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = \lambda x(t) \tag{1.2}$$

where as in fig. 1.2, $\text{Re}(\lambda) < 0$ is assumed to ensure stability.



Figure 1.2: Stable system

Linear stability theory can be thought of as asking the question: "Is the solution of eq. (1.2) computed by my LMS method also stable?"

Application of eq. (1.1) to eq. (1.2) gives

$$\sum_{j=-1}^{k-1} \alpha_j x_{n-j} = \Delta t \sum_{j=-1}^{k-1} \beta_j \lambda x_{n-j},$$
(1.3)

or

$$\sum_{j=-1}^{k-1} \left(\alpha_j - \Delta \beta_j \lambda \right) x_{n-j} = 0.$$
(1.4)

With

$$\gamma_j = \alpha_j - \Delta \beta_j \lambda, \tag{1.5}$$

this expands to

$$\gamma_{-1}x_{n+1} + \gamma_0 x_n + \gamma_1 x_{n-1} + \dots + \gamma_{k-1} x_{n-k}.$$
 (1.6)

This can be seen as a

- discrete time system
- FIR filter

The numerical solution x_n will be stable if eq. (1.6) is stable. A characteristic equation associated with eq. (1.6) can be defined as

$$\gamma_{-1}z^{k} + \gamma_{0}z^{k-1} + \gamma_{1}z^{k-2} + \dots + \gamma_{k-1} = 0.$$
(1.7)

This is a polynomial with roots z_n (poles). This is stable if the poles satisfy $|z_n| < 1$, as illustrated in fig. 1.3



Figure 1.3: Stability

Observe that the γ 's are dependent on Δt .

FIXME: There's a lot of handwaving here that could use more strict justification. Check if the text covers this in more detail.

For k = 1 step.

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = \Delta t f(x_n, t_n),$$
 (1.8)

the coefficients are $\alpha_{-1} = 1$, $\alpha_0 = -1$, $\beta_{-1} = 0$, $\beta_0 = 1$. For the simple function above

$$\gamma_{-1} = \alpha_{-1} - \Delta t \lambda \beta_{-1} = 1$$
 (1.9a)

$$\gamma_0 = \alpha_0 - \Delta t \lambda \beta_0 = -1 - \Delta t \lambda. \tag{1.9b}$$

The stability polynomial is

$$1z + (-1 - \Delta t\lambda) = 0, \tag{1.10}$$

or

$$z = 1 + \delta t \lambda. \tag{1.11}$$

This is the root, or pole. For stability we must have

$$|1 + \Delta t\lambda| < 1, \tag{1.12}$$

or

$$\left|\lambda - \left(-\frac{1}{\Delta t}\right)\right| < \frac{1}{\Delta t},\tag{1.13}$$

This inequality is illustrated roughly in fig. 1.4.



Figure 1.4: Stability region of FE

All poles of my system must be inside the stability region in order to get stable γ .