

Gauge transformation of free particle Hamiltonian

Exercise 1.1

Given a gauge transformation of the free particle Hamiltonian to

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \boldsymbol{\Pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Pi} + e\phi, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\Pi} = \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}, \quad (1.2)$$

calculate $m d\mathbf{x}/dt$, $[\Pi_i, \Pi_j]$, and $m d^2\mathbf{x}/dt^2$, where \mathbf{x} is the Heisenberg picture position operator, and the fields are functions only of position $\phi = \phi(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})$.

Answer for Exercise 1.1

The final results for these calculations are found in [1], but seem worth deriving to exercise our commutator muscles.

Heisenberg picture velocity operator The first order of business is the Heisenberg picture velocity operator, but first note

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\Pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Pi} &= \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{p}^2 - \frac{e}{c} (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A}) + \frac{e^2}{c^2} \mathbf{A}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

The time evolution of the Heisenberg picture position operator is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathbf{x}, H] \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar 2m} [\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\Pi}^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar 2m} \left[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}^2 - \frac{e}{c} (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A}) + \frac{e^2}{c^2} \mathbf{A}^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar 2m} \left([\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}^2] - \frac{e}{c} [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A}] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

For the \mathbf{p}^2 commutator we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x_r, \mathbf{p}^2] &= i\hbar \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}^2}{\partial p_r} \\ &= 2i\hbar p_r, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

or

$$[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}^2] = 2i\hbar \mathbf{p}. \quad (1.6)$$

Computing the remaining commutator, we've got

$$\begin{aligned} [x_r, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p}] &= x_r p_s A_s - p_s A_s x_r \\ &\quad + x_r A_s p_s - A_s p_s x_r \\ &= ([x_r, p_s] + p_s x_r) A_s - p_s A_s x_r \\ &\quad + x_r A_s p_s - A_s ([p_s, x_r] + x_r p_s) \\ &= [x_r, p_s] A_s + \cancel{p_s A_s x_r} - \cancel{p_s A_s x_r} \\ &\quad + \cancel{x_r A_s p_s} - \cancel{x_r A_s p_s} + A_s [x_r, p_s] \\ &= 2i\hbar \delta_{rs} A_s \\ &= 2i\hbar A_r, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

so

$$[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p}] = 2i\hbar \mathbf{A}. \quad (1.8)$$

Assembling these results gives

$$\boxed{\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \frac{1}{m} \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) = \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{\Pi},} \quad (1.9)$$

as asserted in the text.

Kinetic Momentum commutators

$$\begin{aligned} [\Pi_r, \Pi_s] &= [p_r - eA_r/c, p_s - eA_s/c] \\ &= \cancel{[p_r, p_s]} - \frac{e}{c} ([p_r, A_s] + [A_r, p_s]) + \frac{e^2}{c^2} \cancel{[A_r, A_s]}. \\ &= -\frac{e}{c} \left((-i\hbar) \frac{\partial A_s}{\partial x_r} + (i\hbar) \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial x_s} \right) \\ &= -\frac{ie\hbar}{c} \left(-\frac{\partial A_s}{\partial x_r} + \frac{\partial A_r}{\partial x_s} \right). \\ &= -\frac{ie\hbar}{c} \epsilon_{tsr} B_t, \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

or

$$\boxed{[\Pi_r, \Pi_s] = \frac{ie\hbar}{c} \epsilon_{rst} B_t.} \quad (1.11)$$

Quantum Lorentz force For the force equation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 m \frac{d^2 \mathbf{x}}{dt^2} &= \frac{d\mathbf{\Pi}}{dt} \\
 &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathbf{\Pi}, H] \\
 &= \frac{1}{i\hbar 2m} [\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbf{\Pi}^2] + \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathbf{\Pi}, e\phi].
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

For the ϕ commutator consider one component

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\Pi_r, e\phi] &= e \left[p_r - \frac{e}{c} A_r, \phi \right] \\
 &= e [p_r, \phi] \\
 &= e(-i\hbar) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_r},
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathbf{\Pi}, e\phi] = -e \nabla \phi = e\mathbf{E}. \tag{1.14}$$

For the $\mathbf{\Pi}^2$ commutator I initially did this the hard way (it took four notebook pages, plus two for a false start.) Realizing that I didn't use eq. (1.11) for that expansion was the clue to doing this more expediently.

Considering a single component

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\Pi_r, \mathbf{\Pi}^2] &= [\Pi_r, \Pi_s \Pi_s] \\
 &= \Pi_r \Pi_s \Pi_s - \Pi_s \Pi_s \Pi_r \\
 &= ([\Pi_r, \Pi_s] + \Pi_s \Pi_r) \Pi_s - \Pi_s ([\Pi_s, \Pi_r] + \Pi_r \Pi_s) \\
 &= i\hbar \frac{e}{c} \epsilon_{rst} (B_t \Pi_s + \Pi_s B_t),
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{i\hbar 2m} [\mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbf{\Pi}^2] &= \frac{e}{2mc} \epsilon_{rst} \mathbf{e}_r (B_t \Pi_s + \Pi_s B_t) \\
 &= \frac{e}{2mc} (\mathbf{\Pi} \times \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{\Pi}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

Putting all the pieces together we've got the quantum equivalent of the Lorentz force equation

$$\boxed{m \frac{d^2 \mathbf{x}}{dt^2} = e\mathbf{E} + \frac{e}{2c} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} \times \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B} \times \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} \right)}. \tag{1.17}$$

While this looks equivalent to the classical result, all the vectors here are Heisenberg picture operators dependent on position.

Bibliography

[1] Jun John Sakurai and Jim J Napolitano. *Modern quantum mechanics*. Pearson Higher Ed, 2014. 1