

Jacobians and spherical polar gradient.

Originally appeared at:

<http://sites.google.com/site/peeterjoot/math2009/jacobianSphericalPolar.pdf>

Peeter Joot — peeter.joot@gmail.com

Dec 6, 2009 *jacobianSphericalPolar.tex*

Contents

1	Motivation	1
2	Spherical polar gradient coordinates in terms of Cartesian.	1
3	Cartesian gradient coordinates in terms of spherical polar partials.	3
4	Completing the gradient change of variables to spherical polar coordinates.	5
5	General expression for gradient in orthonormal frames.	6

1. Motivation

The dumbest and most obvious way to do a chain of variables for the gradient is to utilize a chain rule expansion producing the Jacobian matrix to transform the coordinates. Here we do this to calculate the spherical polar representation of the gradient.

There are smarter and easier ways to do this, but there is some surprising simple structure to the resulting Jacobians that seems worth noting.

2. Spherical polar gradient coordinates in terms of Cartesian.

We wish to do a change of variables for each of the differential operators of the gradient. This is essentially just application of the chain rule, as in

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \quad (1)$$

Collecting all such derivatives we have in column vector form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \phi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \phi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

This becomes a bit more tractable with the Jacobian notation

$$\frac{\partial(x, y, z)}{\partial(r, \theta, \phi)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \phi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \phi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

The change of variables for the operator triplet is then just

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial_r \\ \partial_\theta \\ \partial_\phi \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\partial(x, y, z)}{\partial(r, \theta, \phi)} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_y \\ \partial_z \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

This Jacobian matrix is also not even too hard to calculate. With $\mathbf{x} = r\hat{\mathbf{r}}$, we have $x_k = r\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_k$, and

$$\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial r} = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_k \quad (5a)$$

$$\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \theta} = r \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} \cdot \mathbf{e}_k \quad (5b)$$

$$\frac{\partial x_k}{\partial \phi} = r \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} \cdot \mathbf{e}_k. \quad (5c)$$

The last two derivatives can be calculated easily if the radial unit vector is written out explicitly, with S and C for sine and cosine respectively, these are

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \begin{bmatrix} S_\theta C_\phi \\ S_\theta S_\phi \\ C_\theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (6a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} = \begin{bmatrix} C_\theta C_\phi \\ C_\theta S_\phi \\ -S_\theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (6b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} = \begin{bmatrix} -S_\theta S_\phi \\ S_\theta C_\phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6c)$$

We can plug these into the elements of the Jacobian matrix explicitly, which produces

$$\frac{\partial(x, y, z)}{\partial(r, \theta, \phi)} = \begin{bmatrix} S_\theta C_\phi & S_\theta S_\phi & C_\theta \\ r C_\theta C_\phi & r C_\theta S_\phi & -r S_\theta \\ -r S_\theta S_\phi & r S_\theta C_\phi & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

however, we are probably better off just referring back to 6, and writing

$$\frac{\partial(x, y, z)}{\partial(r, \theta, \phi)} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T \\ r \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T}{\partial \theta} \\ r \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Unfortunately, this is actually a bit of a dead end. We really want the inverse of this matrix because the desired quantity is

$$\nabla = [\mathbf{e}_1 \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \mathbf{e}_3] \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{x_1} \\ \partial_{x_2} \\ \partial_{x_3} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

(Here my matrix of unit vectors treats these abusively as single elements and not as column vectors).

The matrix of equation 8 does not look particularly fun to invert directly, and that is what we need to substitute into 9. One knows that in the end if it was attempted things should mystically simplify (presuming this was done error free).

3. Cartesian gradient coordinates in terms of spherical polar partials.

Let's flip things upside down and calculate the inverse Jacobian matrix directly. This is a messier job, but it appears less messy than the matrix inversion above.

$$r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \quad (10a)$$

$$\sin^2 \theta = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \quad (10b)$$

$$\tan \phi = \frac{y}{x}. \quad (10c)$$

The messy task is now the calculation of these derivatives.

For the first, from $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, taking partials on both sides, we have

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_k} = \frac{x_k}{r}. \quad (11)$$

But these are just the direction cosines, the components of our polar unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$. We can then write for all of these derivatives in column matrix form

$$\nabla r = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (12)$$

Next from $\sin^2 \theta = (x^2 + y^2)/r^2$, we get after some reduction

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{r} C_\theta C_\phi \quad (13a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{r} C_\theta S_\phi \quad (13b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = -\frac{S_\theta}{r}. \quad (13c)$$

Observe that we can antidifferentiate with respect to theta and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\theta &= \frac{1}{r} \begin{bmatrix} C_\theta C_\phi \\ C_\theta S_\phi \\ -S_\theta \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \begin{bmatrix} S_\theta C_\phi \\ S_\theta S_\phi \\ C_\theta \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

This last column vector is our friend the unit polar vector again, and we have

$$\nabla\theta = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial\theta} \quad (14)$$

Finally for the ϕ dependence we have after some reduction

$$\nabla\phi = \frac{1}{rS_\theta} \begin{bmatrix} -S_\phi \\ C_\phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Again, we can antidifferentiate

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\phi &= \frac{1}{r(S_\theta)^2} \begin{bmatrix} -S_\theta S_\phi \\ S_\theta C_\phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{r(S_\theta)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \begin{bmatrix} S_\theta C_\phi \\ S_\theta S_\phi \\ C_\theta \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

We have our unit polar vector again, and our ϕ partials nicely summarized by

$$\nabla\phi = \frac{1}{r(S_\theta)^2} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial\phi}. \quad (16)$$

With this we can now write out the Jacobian matrix either explicitly, or in column vector form in terms of $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$. First a reminder of why we want this matrix, for the following change of variables

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_y \\ \partial_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_r \\ \partial_\theta \\ \partial_\phi \end{bmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

We want the Jacobian matrix

$$\frac{\partial(r, \theta, \phi)}{\partial(x, y, z)} = [\nabla r \quad \nabla\theta \quad \nabla\phi] = \left[\hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial\theta} \quad \frac{1}{r \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial\phi} \right]. \quad (18)$$

Explicitly this is

$$\frac{\partial(r, \theta, \phi)}{\partial(x, y, z)} = \begin{bmatrix} S_\theta C_\phi & \frac{1}{r} C_\theta C_\phi & -\frac{1}{r S_\theta} S_\phi \\ S_\theta S_\phi & \frac{1}{r} C_\theta S_\phi & \frac{C_\phi}{r S_\theta} \\ C_\theta & -\frac{1}{r} S_\theta & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

As a verification of correctness multiplication of this with 7 should produce identity. That's a mess of trig that I don't really feel like trying, but we can get a rough idea why it should all be the identity matrix by multiplying it out in block matrix form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(x, y, z)}{\partial(r, \theta, \phi)} \frac{\partial(r, \theta, \phi)}{\partial(x, y, z)} &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T \\ r \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T}{\partial \theta} \\ r \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{r}} & \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} & \frac{1}{r \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T \hat{\mathbf{r}} & \frac{1}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} & \frac{1}{r \sin^2 \theta} \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} \\ r \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T}{\partial \theta} \hat{\mathbf{r}} & \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} & \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} \\ r \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T}{\partial \phi} \hat{\mathbf{r}} & \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} & \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}^T}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The derivatives are vectors that lie tangential to the unit sphere. We can calculate this to verify, or we can look at the off diagonal terms which say just this if we trust the math that says these should all be zeros. For each of the off diagonal terms to be zero must mean that we have

$$0 = \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (20)$$

This makes intuitive sense. We can also verify quickly enough that $(\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}} / \partial \theta)^2 = 1$, and $(\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}} / \partial \phi)^2 = \sin^2 \theta$ (I did this with a back of the envelope calculation using geometric algebra). That is consistent with what this matrix product implies it should equal.

4. Completing the gradient change of variables to spherical polar coordinates.

We are now set to calculate the gradient in spherical polar coordinates from our Cartesian representation. From 9 and 17, and 18 we have

$$\nabla = [\mathbf{e}_1 \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \mathbf{e}_3] \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1 & \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1 & \frac{1}{r \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 & \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 & \frac{1}{r \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 \\ \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 & \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 & \frac{1}{r \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \partial_r \\ \partial_\theta \\ \partial_\phi \end{bmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

The Jacobian matrix has been written out explicitly as scalars because we are now switching to an abusive notation using matrices of vector elements. Our Jacobian, a matrix of scalars happened to have a nice compact representation in column vector form, but we cannot use this when multiplying out with our matrix elements (or perhaps could if we invented more conventions, but lets avoid that). Having written it out in full we see that we recover our original compact Jacobian representation, and have just

$$\nabla = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{r}} & \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} & \frac{1}{r \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Expanding this last product we have the gradient in its spherical polar representation

$$\nabla = \left[\hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right]. \quad (23)$$

With the labels

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \theta} \quad (24a)$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \phi}, \quad (24b)$$

(having confirmed that these are unit vectors), we have the final result for the gradient in this representation

$$\nabla = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}. \quad (25)$$

Here the matrix delimiters for the remaining one by one matrix term were also dropped.

5. General expression for gradient in orthonormal frames.

Having done the computation for the spherical polar case, we get the result for any orthonormal frame for free. That is just

$$\nabla = \sum_i (\nabla q_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}. \quad (26)$$

From each of the gradients we can factor out a unit vector in the direction of the gradient, and have an expression that structurally has the same form as 25. Writing $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_i = (\nabla q_i) / |\nabla q_i|$, this is

$$\nabla = \sum_i |\nabla q_i| \hat{\mathbf{q}}_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}. \quad (27)$$

These individual direction gradients are not necessarily easy to compute. The procedures outlined in [1] are a more effective way of dealing with this general computational task. However, if we want, we can at proceed this dumb obvious way and be able to get the desired result knowing only how to apply the chain rule, and the Cartesian definition of the gradient.

References

- [1] F.W. Byron and R.W. Fuller. *Mathematics of Classical and Quantum Physics*. Dover Publications, 1992.