

# Poisson and retarded Potential Green's functions from Fourier kernels.

Peeter Joot   peeter.joot@gmail.com

Feb 18, 2009. Last Revision: *Date* : 2009/02/26 03 : 46 : 16

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Motivation.</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Poisson equation.</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Setup. . . . .	2
2.2	Evaluating the convolution kernel integral. . . . .	3
2.3	Take II. . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Retarded time potentials for the 3D wave equation.</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Setup. . . . .	6
3.2	Reducing the Green's function integral. . . . .	7
3.3	Omitted Details. Advanced time solution. . . . .	10
<b>4</b>	<b>1D wave equation.</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Appendix.</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1	Integral form of unit step function. . . . .	12

## 1 Motivation.

Having recently attempted a number of Fourier solutions to the Heat, Schrödinger, Maxwell vacuum, and inhomogeneous Maxwell equation, a reading of [Perry()] inspired me to have another go. In particular, he writes the Poisson equation solution explicitly in terms of a Green's function.

The Green's function for the Poisson equation

$$G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{4\pi|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \quad (1)$$

isn't really derived, rather is just pointed out. However, it is a nice closed form that doesn't have any integrals. Contrast this to the Fourier transform

method, where one ends up with a messy threefold integral that isn't particularly obvious how to integrate.

In the PF thread Fourier transform solution to electrostatics Poisson equation? I asked if anybody knew how to reduce this integral to the potential kernel of electrostatics. Before getting any answer from PF I found it in [Byron and Fuller(1992)], a book recently purchased, but not yet read.

Go through this calculation here myself in full detail to get more comfort with the ideas. Some of these ideas can probably also be applied to previous incomplete Fourier solution attempts. In particular, the retarded time potential solutions likely follow. Can these same ideas be applied to the STA form of the Maxwell equation, explicitly inverting it, as [Doran and Lasenby(2003)] indicate is possible (but do not spell out).

## 2 Poisson equation.

### 2.1 Setup.

As often illustrated with the Heat equation, we seek a Fourier transform solution of the electrostatics Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \phi = -\rho / \epsilon_0 \quad (2)$$

Our 3D Fourier transform pairs are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(\mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3} \iiint f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d^3x \\ f(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3} \iiint \hat{f}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d^3k \end{aligned}$$

Applying the transform we get

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \iiint \rho(\mathbf{x}') G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') d^3x' \quad (3)$$

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \iiint \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d^3k \quad (4)$$

Now it seems to me that this integral G only has to be evaluated around a small neighbourhood of the origin. For example if one evaluates one of the integrals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2} e^{ik_1 x_1} dk_1$$

using an upper half plane contour the result is zero unless  $k_2 = k_3 = 0$ . So one is left with something loosely like

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{k_1=-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} dk_1 \int_{k_2=-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} dk_2 \int_{k_3=-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} dk_3 \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$$

How to reduce this? Somehow it must be possible to take this Fourier convolution kernel and somehow evaluate the integral to produce the electrostatics potential.

## 2.2 Evaluating the convolution kernel integral.

The answer of how to do so, as pointed out above, was found in [Byron and Fuller(1992)]. Instead of trying to evaluate this integral which has a pole at the origin, they cleverly evaluate a variant of it

$$I = \iiint \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + a^2} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} d^3k$$

which splits and shifts the repeated pole into two first order poles away from the origin. After a change to spherical polar coordinates, the new integral can be evaluated, and the Poisson Green's function in potential form follows by letting  $a$  tend to zero.

Very cool. It seems worthwhile to go through the motions of this myself, omitting no details I would find valuable.

First we want the volume element in spherical polar form, and our vector. That is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= k \cos \phi \\ dA &= (\rho d\theta)(k d\phi) \\ d^3k &= dk dA = k^2 \cos \phi d\theta d\phi dk \\ \mathbf{k} &= (\rho \cos \theta, \rho \sin \theta, k \sin \theta) \\ &= k(\cos \phi \cos \theta, \cos \phi \sin \theta, \sin \phi) \end{aligned}$$

FIXME: scan picture to show angle conventions picked.

This produces

$$I = \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} \int_{\phi=-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 + a^2} \exp(ik(\cos \phi \cos \theta x_1 + \cos \phi \sin \theta x_2 + \sin \phi x_3)) k^2 \cos \phi d\theta d\phi dk$$

Now, this is a lot less tractable than the Byron/Fuller treatment. In particular they were able to make a  $t = \cos \phi$  substitution, and if I try this I get

$$I = - \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} \int_{t=-1}^1 \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 + a^2} \exp \left( ik(t \cos \theta x_1 + t \sin \theta x_2 + \sqrt{1-t^2} x_3) \right) k^2 dt d\theta dk$$

Now, this is still a whole lot different, and in particular it has  $ik(t \sin \theta x_2 + \sqrt{1-t^2} x_3)$  in the exponential. I puzzled over this for a while, but it becomes clear on writing. Freedom to orient the axis along a preferable direction has been used, and some basis for which  $\mathbf{x} = x_j \mathbf{e}^j + = x \mathbf{e}^{\uparrow}$  has been used! We are now left with

$$\begin{aligned} I &= - \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} \int_{t=-1}^1 \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 + a^2} \exp(ikt \cos \theta x) k^2 dt d\theta dk \\ &= - \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(k^2 + a^2) \cos \theta} \sin(kt \cos \theta x) k d\theta dk \\ &= - \int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} \int_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k^2 + a^2) \cos \theta} \sin(kt \cos \theta x) k d\theta dk \end{aligned}$$

Here the fact that our integral kernel is even in  $k$  has been used to double the range and half the kernel.

However, looking at this, one can see that there is trouble. In particular, we have  $\cos \theta$  in the denominator, with a range that allows zeros. How did the text avoid this trouble?

### 2.3 Take II.

After mulling it over for a bit, it appears that aligning  $\mathbf{x}$  with the  $x$ -axis is causing the trouble. Aligning with the  $z$ -axis will work out much better, and leave only one trig term in the exponential. Essentially we need to use a volume of rotation about the  $z$ -axis, integrating along all sets of constant  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ . This is a set of integrals over concentric ring volume elements (FIXME: picture).

Our volume element, measuring  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  from the  $z$ -axis, and  $\phi$  as our position on the ring

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} &= kx \cos \theta \\ \rho &= k \sin \theta \\ dA &= (\rho d\phi)(k d\theta) \\ d^3k &= dk dA = k^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dk \end{aligned}$$

This gives us

$$I = \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 + a^2} \exp(ikx \cos \theta) k^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dk$$

Now we can integrate immediately over  $\phi$ , and make a  $t = \cos \theta$  substitution ( $dt = -\sin \theta d\theta$ )

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -2\pi \int_{t=1}^{-1} \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 + a^2} \exp(ikxt) k^2 dt dk \\ &= -\frac{2\pi}{ix} \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 + a^2} (e^{-ikx} - e^{ikx}) k dk \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{ix} \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 + a^2} e^{ikx} k dk - \frac{2\pi}{ix} \int_{k=-0}^{-\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 + a^2} e^{ikx} (-k) (-dk) \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{ix} \int_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 + a^2} e^{ikx} k dk \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{ix} \int_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k - ia} \frac{ke^{ikx}}{(k + ia)} dk \end{aligned}$$

Now we have something that's in form for contour integration. In the upper half plane we have a pole at  $k = ia$ . Assuming that the integral over the big semicircular arc vanishes, we can just pick up the residue at that pole contributing. The assumption that this vanishes is actually non-trivial looking since the  $k/(k + ia)$  term at a big radius  $R$  tends to 1. This is probably where Jordan's lemma comes in, so some study to understand that looks well justified.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= I - 2\pi i \frac{2\pi}{ix} \frac{ke^{ikx}}{(k + ia)} \Big|_{k=ia} \\ &= I - 2\pi i \frac{2\pi}{ix} \frac{e^{-ax}}{2} \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$I = \frac{2\pi^2}{x} e^{-ax}$$

Now that we have this, the Green's function of 3 is

$$\begin{aligned} G(\mathbf{x}) &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{2\pi^2}{x} e^{-ax} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}|} \end{aligned}$$

Which gives

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} dV'$$

Awesome! All following from the choice to set  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi$ , we have a solution for  $\phi$  following directly from the divergence equation  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$  via Fourier transformation of this equation.

### 3 Retarded time potentials for the 3D wave equation.

#### 3.1 Setup.

If we look at the general inhomogeneous Maxwell equation

$$\nabla F = J/\epsilon_0 c \quad (5)$$

In terms of potential  $F = \nabla \wedge A$  and employing in the Lorentz gauge  $\nabla \cdot A = 0$ , we have

$$\nabla^2 A = \left( \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_{tt} - \sum \partial_{jj} \right) A = J/\epsilon_0 c \quad (6)$$

As scalar equations with  $A = A^\mu \gamma_\mu$ ,  $J = J^\nu \gamma_\nu$  we have four equations all of the same form.

A Green's function form for such wave equations was previously calculated in [Joot()]. That was

$$\left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \sum_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right) \psi = g \quad (7)$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x}', t') G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', t - t') d^3 x' dt' \quad (8)$$

$$G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \theta(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c}{(2\pi)^3 |\mathbf{k}|} \sin(|\mathbf{k}|ct) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) d^3 k \quad (9)$$

Here  $\theta(t)$  is the unit step function, which meant we only sum the time contributions of the charge density for  $t - t' > 0$ , or  $t' < t$ . That's the causal variant of the solution, which was arbitrary mathematically ( $t > t'$  would have also worked).

### 3.2 Reducing the Green's function integral.

Let's see if the spherical polar method works to reduce this equation too. In particular we want to evaluate

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{k}|} \sin(|\mathbf{k}|ct) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) d^3k$$

Will we have a requirement to introduce a pole off the origin as above? Perhaps like

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{k}| + a} \sin(|\mathbf{k}|ct) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) d^3k$$

Let's omit it for now, but make the same spherical polar substitution used successfully above, writing

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{2\pi} \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \sin(kct) \exp(ikx \cos \theta) k^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dk \\ &= 2\pi \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \sin(kct) \exp(ikx \cos \theta) k \sin \theta d\theta dk \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\tau = \cos \theta$ ,  $-d\tau = \sin \theta d\theta$ , for

$$\begin{aligned} I &= 2\pi \int_{\tau=1}^{-1} \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \sin(kct) \exp(ikx\tau) k (-d\tau) dk \\ &= -2\pi \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \sin(kct) \frac{2}{2ikx} (\exp(-ikx) - \exp(ikx)) k dk \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{x} \int_{k=0}^{\infty} \sin(kct) \sin(kx) dk \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{x} \int_{k=0}^{\infty} (\cos(k(x-ct)) - \cos(k(x+ct))) dk \end{aligned}$$

Okay, this is much simpler, but still not in a form that's immediately obvious how to apply contour integration to, since it has no poles. The integral kernel here is however an even function, so we can use the trick of doubling the integral range.

$$I = \frac{\pi}{x} \int_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (\cos(k(x-ct)) - \cos(k(x+ct))) dk$$

Having done this, this integral isn't really any more well defined. With the Rigor police on holiday, let's assume we want the principle value of this integral

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi}{x} \int_{k=-R}^R (\cos(k(x-ct)) - \cos(k(x+ct))) dk \\
&= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi}{x} \int_{k=-R}^R d \left( \frac{\sin(k(x-ct))}{x-ct} - \frac{\sin(k(x+ct))}{x+ct} \right) \\
&= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\pi^2}{x} \left( \frac{\sin(R(x-ct))}{\pi(x-ct)} - \frac{\sin(R(x+ct))}{\pi(x+ct)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

This sinc limit has been seen before being functionally identified with the delta function (the wikipedia article calls these "nascent delta function"), so we can write

$$I = \frac{2\pi^2}{x} (\delta(x-ct) - \delta(x+ct))$$

For our Green's function we now have

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \theta(t) \frac{c}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{2\pi^2}{|\mathbf{x}|} (\delta(x-ct) - \delta(x+ct)) \\
&= \theta(t) \frac{c}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}|} (\delta(x-ct) - \delta(x+ct))
\end{aligned}$$

And finally, our wave function (switching variables to convolve with the charge density) instead of the Green's function

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', t - t') \theta(t') \frac{c}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}'|} \delta(|\mathbf{x}'| - ct') d^3x' dt' \\
&\quad - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', t - t') \theta(t') \frac{c}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}'|} \delta(|\mathbf{x}'| + ct') d^3x' dt'
\end{aligned}$$

Let's break these into two parts

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \psi_-(\mathbf{x}, t) + \psi_+(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (10)$$

Where the first part,  $\psi_-$  is for the  $-ct'$  delta function and one  $\psi_+$  for the  $+ct'$ . Making a  $\tau = t - t'$  change of variables, this first portion is



$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_-(\mathbf{x}, t) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', \tau) \theta(t - \tau) \frac{c}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}'|} \delta(|\mathbf{x}'| - ct + c\tau) d^3x' d\tau \\
&= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'', t - |\mathbf{x}''|/c) \frac{c}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}''|} d^3x''
\end{aligned}$$

One more change of variables,  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'', d^3x'' = -d^3x$ , gives the final desired retarded potential result. The  $\psi_+$  result is similar (see below), and assembling all we have

$$\psi_-(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x}', t - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|/c) \frac{c}{4\pi|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3x' \quad (11)$$

$$\psi_+(\mathbf{x}, t) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x}, t + |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|/c) \frac{c}{4\pi|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3x' \quad (12)$$

It looks like my initial interpretation of the causal nature of the unit step in the original functional form wasn't really right. It is not until the Green's function is "integrated" do we get this causal and non-causal split into two specific solutions. In the first of these solutions is only charge contributions at the position in space offset by the wave propagation speed effects the potential (this is the causal case). On the other hand we have a second specific solution to the wave equation summing the charge contributions at all the future positions, this time offset by the time it takes a wave to propagate backwards from that future spacetime

The final mathematical result is consistent with statements seen elsewhere, such as in [Feynman et al.(1963)Feynman, Leighton, and Sands], although it is likely that the path taken by others to get this result was less ad-hoc than mine. It's been a couple years since seeing this for the first time in Feynman's text. It wasn't clear to me how somebody could possibly come up with those starting with Maxwell's equations. Here by essentially applying undergrad Engineering Fourier methods, we get the result in an admittedly ad-hoc fashion, but at least the result is not pulled out of a magic hat.

### 3.3 Omitted Details. Advanced time solution.

Similar to the above for  $\psi_+$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_+(\mathbf{x}, t) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', t - t') \theta(t') \frac{c}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}'|} \delta(|\mathbf{x}'| + ct') d^3x' dt' \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', \tau) \theta(t - \tau) \frac{c}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}'|} \delta(|\mathbf{x}'| + c(t - \tau)) d^3x' d\tau \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', \tau) \theta(t - \tau) \frac{c}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}'|} \delta(|\mathbf{x}'| + ct - c\tau) d^3x' d\tau \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', t + |\mathbf{x}'|/c) \frac{c}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}'|} d^3x' \\
&= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\mathbf{x}, t + |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|/c) \frac{c}{4\pi|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3x'
\end{aligned}$$

Is there an extra factor of  $-1$  here?

## 4 1D wave equation.

It is somewhat irregular seeming to treat the 3D case before what should be the simpler 1D case, so let's try evaluating the Green's function for the 1D wave equation too.

We have found that Fourier transforms applied to the forced wave equation

$$\left( \frac{1}{v^2} \partial_{tt} - \partial_{xx} \right) \psi = g(x, t) \quad (13)$$

result in the following integral solution.

$$\psi(x, t) = \int_{x'=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{t'=0}^{\infty} g(x - x', t - t') G(x', t') dx' dt' \quad (14)$$

$$G(x, t) = \int_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v}{2\pi k} \sin(kvt) \exp(ikx) dk \quad (15)$$

As in the 3D case above can this reduced to something that does not involve such an unpalatable integral. Given the 3D result, it would be reasonable to get a result involving  $g(x \pm vt)$  terms.

First let's get rid of the sine term, and express  $G$  entirely in exponential form. That is

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x, t) &= \int_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v}{4\pi ki} (\exp(kvt) - \exp(-kvt)) \exp(ikx) dk \\
&= \int_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v}{4\pi ki} \left( e^{k(x+vt)} - e^{k(x-vt)} \right) dk
\end{aligned}$$

Using the unit step function identification from 20, we have

$$G(x, t) = \frac{v}{2} (\theta(x + vt) - \theta(x - vt)) \quad (16)$$

If this identification works our solution then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, t) &= \int_{x'=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{t'=0}^{\infty} g(x - x', t - t') \frac{v}{2} (\theta(x' + vt') - \theta(x' - vt')) dx' dt' \\ &= \int_{x'=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{s=0}^{\infty} g(x - x', t - s/v) \frac{1}{2} (\theta(x' + s) - \theta(x' - s)) dx' ds \end{aligned}$$

This is already much simpler than the original, but additional reduction should be possible by breaking this down into specific intervals. An alternative, perhaps is to use integration by parts and the delta function as the derivative of the unit step identification.

Let's try a pair of variable changes

$$\psi(x, t) = \int_{u=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{s=0}^{\infty} g(x - u + s, t - s/v) \frac{1}{2} \theta(u) du ds - \int_{u=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{s=0}^{\infty} g(x - u - s, t - s/v) \frac{1}{2} \theta(u) du ds$$

Like the retarded time potential solution to the 3D wave equation, we now have the wave function solution entirely specified by a weighted sum of the driving function

$$\psi(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \int_{s=0}^{\infty} (g(x - u + s, t - s/v) - g(x - u - s, t - s/v)) du ds \quad (17)$$

Can this be tidied at all? Let's do a change of variables here, writing  $-\tau = t - s/v$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \int_{\tau=-t}^{\infty} (g(x + vt - (u - v\tau), \tau) - g(x - vt - (u + v\tau), \tau)) du d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{u=0}^{\infty} \int_{\tau=-\infty}^t (g(x + vt - (u + v\tau), -\tau) - g(x - vt - (u - v\tau), -\tau)) du d\tau \end{aligned}$$

Is that any better? I'm not so sure, and intuition says there's a way to reduce this to a single integral summing only over spatial variation.

#### 4.1 Followup to verify.

There has been a lot of guessing and loose mathematics here. However, if this is a valid solution despite all that, we should be able to apply the wave

function operator  $\frac{1}{v^2}\partial_{tt} + \partial_{xx}$  as a consistency check and get back  $g(x, t)$  by differentiating under the integral sign.

FIXME: First have to think about how exactly to do this differentiation.

## 5 Appendix.

### 5.1 Integral form of unit step function.

The wiki article on the Heavyside unit step function lists an integral form

$$I_\epsilon = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{PV} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ix\tau}}{\tau - i\epsilon} d\tau \quad (18)$$

$$\theta(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_\epsilon \quad (19)$$

How does this make sense? For  $x > 0$  we can evaluate this with an upper half plane semi-circular contour (FIXME: picture). Along the arc  $z = Re^{i\phi}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_\epsilon| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\phi=0}^{\pi} \frac{e^{iR(\cos\phi + i\sin\phi)}}{Re^{i\phi} - i\epsilon} Rie^{i\phi} d\phi \right| \\ &\approx \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{\pi} e^{iR\cos\phi} e^{-R\sin\phi} d\phi \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{\pi} e^{-R\sin\phi} d\phi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{\pi} e^{-R} d\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-R} \end{aligned}$$

This tends to zero as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , so evaluating the residue, we have for  $x > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} I_\epsilon &= -(-2\pi i) \frac{1}{2\pi i} e^{ix\tau} \Big|_{\tau=i\epsilon} \\ &= e^{-x\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Now for  $x < 0$  an upper half plane contour will diverge, but the lower half plane can be used. This gives us  $I_\epsilon = 0$  in that region. All that remains is the  $x = 0$  case. There we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\epsilon(0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{PV} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau - i\epsilon} d\tau \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \ln \left( \frac{R - i\epsilon}{-R - i\epsilon} \right) \\
&\rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln(-1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} i\pi
\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing we have

$$I_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-x\epsilon} & \text{if } x > 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

So in the limit this does work as an integral formulation of the unit step. This will be used to (very loosely) identify

$$\theta(x) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{PV} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ix\tau}}{\tau} d\tau \quad (20)$$

## References

- [Byron and Fuller(1992)] F.W. Byron and R.W. Fuller. *Mathematics of Classical and Quantum Physics*. Dover Publications, 1992.
- [Doran and Lasenby(2003)] C. Doran and A.N. Lasenby. *Geometric algebra for physicists*. Cambridge University Press New York, 2003.
- [Feynman et al.(1963)Feynman, Leighton, and Sands] R.P. Feynman, R.B. Leighton, and M.L. Sands. *feynman lectures on physics*. [Lectures on physics]. 1963.
- [Joot()] Peeter Joot. Fourier transform solutions to maxwell's equation. "[http://sites.google.com/site/peeterjoot/math2009/fourier\\_maxwell.pdf](http://sites.google.com/site/peeterjoot/math2009/fourier_maxwell.pdf)".
- [Perry()] Dr. M. J. Perry. electrodynamics. "<http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/examples/B17L.pdf>".