

# Lorentz Gauge Fourier Vacuum potential solutions.

Peeter Joot

Feb 07, 2009. Last Revision: *Date* : 2009/02/07 15 : 20 : 07

## Contents

<b>1 Motivation.</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Notation. . . . .	1
<b>2 Second order treatment with potentials.</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1 With the Lorentz gauge. . . . .	1
2.2 Comparing the first and second order solutions . . . . .	4

## 1 Motivation.

In [Joot()] a first order Fourier solution of the Vacuum Maxwell equation was performed. Here a comparative potential solution is obtained.

### 1.1 Notation.

The 3D Fourier series notation developed for this treatment can be found in the original notes [Joot()]. Also included there is a table of notation, much of which is also used here.

## 2 Second order treatment with potentials.

### 2.1 With the Lorentz gauge.

Now, it appears that Bohm's use of potentials allows a nice comparison with the harmonic oscillator. Let's also try a Fourier solution of the potential equations. Again, use STA instead of the traditional vector equations, writing  $A = (\phi + \mathbf{a})\gamma_0$ , and employing the Lorentz gauge  $\nabla \cdot A = 0$  we have for  $F = \nabla \wedge A$  in cgs units

FIXME: Add  $\mathbf{a}$ , and  $\psi$  to notational table below with definitions in terms of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}$  (or the other way around).

$$\nabla^2 A = 4\pi J$$

Again with a spacetime split of the gradient

$$\nabla = \gamma^0(\partial_0 + \nabla) = (\partial_0 - \nabla)\gamma_0$$

our four Laplacian can be written

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_0 - \nabla)\gamma_0\gamma^0(\partial_0 + \nabla) &= (\partial_0 - \nabla)(\partial_0 + \nabla) \\ &= \partial_{00} - \nabla^2 \end{aligned}$$

Our vacuum field equation for the potential is thus

$$\partial_{tt}A = c^2\nabla^2 A \quad (1)$$

Now, as before assume a Fourier solution and see what follows. That is

$$A(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \quad (2)$$

Applied to each component this gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}'' e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} &= c^2 \hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \sum_m \frac{\partial^2}{(\partial x^m)^2} e^{-2\pi i \sum_j k_j x^j / \lambda_j} \\ &= c^2 \hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \sum_m (-2\pi i k_m / \lambda_m)^2 e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \\ &= -c^2 \mathbf{k}^2 \hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \end{aligned}$$

So we are left with another big ass set of simplest equations to solve

$$\hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}'' = -c^2 \mathbf{k}^2 \hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}$$

Note that again the origin point  $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 0)$  is a special case. Also of note this time is that  $\hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}$  has vector and trivector parts, unlike  $\hat{F}_{\mathbf{k}}$  which being derived from dual and non-dual components of a bivector was still a bivector.

It appears that solutions can be found with either left or right handed vector valued integration constants

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) &= \exp(\pm i c \mathbf{k} t) C_{\mathbf{k}} \\ &= D_{\mathbf{k}} \exp(\pm i c \mathbf{k} t) \end{aligned}$$

Since these are equal at  $t = 0$ , it appears to imply that these commute with the complex exponentials as was the case for the bivector field.

For the  $\mathbf{k} = 0$  special case we have solutions

$$\hat{A}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = D_0 t + C_0$$

It doesn't seem unreasonable to require  $D_0 = 0$ . Otherwise this time dependent DC Fourier component will blow up at large and small values, while periodic solutions are sought.

Putting things back together we have

$$A(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \exp(\pm i c k t) C_{\mathbf{k}} \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$$

Here again for  $t = 0$ , our integration constants are found to be determined completely by the initial conditions

$$A(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} C_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (3)$$

So we can write

$$C_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{V} \int A(\mathbf{x}', 0) e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}'} d^3 x'$$

In integral form this is

$$A(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \exp(\pm i c k t) A(\mathbf{x}', 0) \exp(i \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')) \quad (4)$$

This, somewhat suprisingly, is strikingly similar to what we had for the bivector field. That was:

$$F(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}', t) F(\mathbf{x}', 0) d^3 x' \quad (5)$$

$$G(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \exp(i c k t) \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \quad (6)$$

We cannot however commute the time phase term to construct a one sided Green's function for this potential solution (or perhaps we can but if so shown or attempted to show that this is possible). We also have a plus or minus variation in the phase term due to the second order nature of the harmonic oscillator equations for our Fourier coefficients.

## 2.2 Comparing the first and second order solutions

A consequence of working in the Lorentz gauge ( $\nabla \cdot A = 0$ ) is that our field solution should be a gradient

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \nabla \wedge A \\ &= \nabla A \end{aligned}$$

FIXME: expand this out using 4 to compare to the first order solution.

## References

[Joot()] Peeter Joot. Fourier series vacuum maxwell's equations. "[http://sites.google.com/site/peeterjoot/math2009/fourier\\_series\\_maxwell.pdf](http://sites.google.com/site/peeterjoot/math2009/fourier_series_maxwell.pdf)".