

## Two particle center of mass Laplacian change of variables.

Originally appeared at:

<http://sites.google.com/site/peeterjoot/math2009/twoParticleCMLaplacian.pdf>

Peeter Joot — [peeter.joot@gmail.com](mailto:peeter.joot@gmail.com)

Nov 30, 2009 RCS file : *twoParticleCMLaplacian.tex*, v Last Revision : 1.3

Date : 2009/12/01 02 : 35 : 29

---

### Contents

Exercise 15.2 in [1] is to do a center of mass change of variables for the two particle Hamiltonian

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_1} \nabla_1^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_2} \nabla_2^2 + V(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2). \quad (1)$$

Before trying this, I was surprised that this would result in a diagonal form for the transformed Hamiltonian, so it is well worth doing the problem to see why this is the case. He uses

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2 \quad (2)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \frac{1}{M}(m_1 \mathbf{r}_1 + m_2 \mathbf{r}_2). \quad (3)$$

Lets use coordinates  $x_k^{(1)}$  for  $\mathbf{r}_1$ , and  $x_k^{(2)}$  for  $\mathbf{r}_2$ . Expanding the first order partial operator for  $\partial/\partial x_1^{(1)}$  by chain rule in terms of  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$  coordinates we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{(1)}} &= \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x_1^{(1)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_k} + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x_1^{(1)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_k} \\ &= \frac{m_1}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{(2)}} &= \frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial x_1^{(2)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_k} + \frac{\partial \zeta_k}{\partial x_1^{(2)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_k} \\ &= \frac{m_2}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_1}. \end{aligned}$$

The second partials for these  $x$  coordinates are not a diagonal quadratic second partial operator, but are instead

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{(1)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{(1)}} = \frac{(m_1)^2}{M^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta_1 \partial \eta_1} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta_1 \partial \zeta_1} + 2 \frac{m_1}{M} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta_1 \partial \eta_1} \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{(2)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{(2)}} = \frac{(m_2)^2}{M^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta_1 \partial \eta_1} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta_1 \partial \zeta_1} - 2 \frac{m_2}{M} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta_1 \partial \eta_1}. \quad (5)$$

The desired result follows directly, since the mixed partial terms conveniently cancel when we sum  $(1/m_1)\partial/\partial x_1^{(1)}\partial/\partial x_1^{(1)} + (1/m_2)\partial/\partial x_1^{(2)}\partial/\partial x_1^{(2)}$ . This leaves us with

$$H = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^3 \left( \frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta_k \partial \eta_k} + \left( \frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_k \partial \xi_k} \right) + V(\xi), \quad (6)$$

With the shorthand of the text

$$\nabla_\eta = \sum_k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta_k \partial \eta_k} \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla_\xi = \sum_k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_k \partial \xi_k}, \quad (8)$$

this is the result to be proven.

## References

- [1] D. Bohm. *Quantum Theory*. Courier Dover Publications, 1989.