

Unitary exponential sandwich

Originally appeared at:

<http://sites.google.com/site/peeterjoot/math2010/exponentialSandwichCommutator.pdf>

Peeter Joot — peeter.joot@gmail.com

Sept 27, 2010 *exponentialSandwichCommutator.tex*

1. Motivation.

One of the chapter II exercises in [1] involves a commutator exponential sandwich of the form

$$e^{iF} B e^{-iF} \quad (1)$$

where F is Hermitian. Asking about commutators on physicsforums I was told that such sandwiches (my term) preserve expectation values, and also have a Taylor series like expansion involving the repeated commutators. Let's derive the commutator relationship.

2. Guts

Let's expand a sandwich of this form in series, and shuffle the summation order so that we sum over all the index plane diagonals $k + m = \text{constant}$. That is

$$\begin{aligned} e^A B e^{-A} &= \sum_{k,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!m!} A^k B (-A)^m \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^r \frac{1}{(r-m)!m!} A^{r-m} B (-A)^m \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{m=0}^r \frac{r!}{(r-m)!m!} A^{r-m} B (-A)^m \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{m=0}^r \binom{r}{m} A^{r-m} B (-A)^m. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that these interior sums can be written as commutators, we'll shortly have an induction exercise. Let's write these out for a couple values of r to get a feel for things.

- $r = 1$

$$\binom{1}{0} A B + \binom{1}{1} B (-A) = [A, B]$$

- $r = 2$

$$\binom{2}{0}A^2B + \binom{2}{1}AB(-A) + \binom{2}{2}B(-A)^2 = A^2B - 2ABA + BA$$

This compares exactly to the double commutator:

$$\begin{aligned} [A, [A, B]] &= A(AB - BA) - (AB - BA)A \\ &= A^2B - ABA - ABA + BA^2 \\ &= A^2B - 2ABA + BA^2 \end{aligned}$$

• $r = 3$

$$\binom{3}{0}A^3B + \binom{3}{1}A^2B(-A) + \binom{3}{2}AB(-A)^2 + \binom{3}{3}B(-A)^3 = A^3B - 3A^2BA + 3ABA^2 - BA^3.$$

And this compares exactly to the triple commutator

$$\begin{aligned} [A, [A, [A, B]]] &= A^3B - 2A^2BA + ABA^2 - (A^2BA - 2ABA^2 + BA^3) \\ &= A^3B - 3A^2BA + 3ABA^2 - BA^3 \end{aligned}$$

The induction pattern is clear. Let's write the r fold commutator as

$$C_r(A, B) \equiv \underbrace{[A, [A, \dots, [A, B]] \dots]}_{r \text{ times}} = \sum_{m=0}^r \binom{r}{m} A^{r-m} B (-A)^m, \quad (2)$$

and calculate this for the $r + 1$ case to verify the induction hypothesis. We have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{r+1}(A, B) &= \sum_{m=0}^r \binom{r}{m} (A^{r-m+1} B (-A)^m - A^{r-m} B (-A)^m A) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^r \binom{r}{m} (A^{r-m+1} B (-A)^m + A^{r-m} B (-A)^{m+1}) \\ &= A^{r+1} B + \sum_{m=1}^r \binom{r}{m} A^{r-m+1} B (-A)^m + \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{m} A^{r-m} B (-A)^{m+1} + B (-A)^{r+1} \\ &= A^{r+1} B + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{k+1} A^{r-k} B (-A)^{k+1} + \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} \binom{r}{m} A^{r-m} B (-A)^{m+1} + B (-A)^{r+1} \\ &= A^{r+1} B + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left(\binom{r}{k+1} + \binom{r}{k} \right) A^{r-k} B (-A)^{k+1} + B (-A)^{r+1} \end{aligned}$$

We now have to sum those binomial coefficients. I like the search and replace technique for this, picking two visibly distinct numbers for r , and k that are easy to manipulate without abstract confusion. How about $r = 7$, and $k = 3$. Using those we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\binom{7}{3+1} + \binom{7}{3} &= \frac{7!}{(3+1)!(7-3-1)!} + \frac{7!}{3!(7-3)!} \\
&= \frac{7!(7-3)}{(3+1)!(7-3)!} + \frac{7!(3+1)}{(3+1)!(7-3)!} \\
&= \frac{7!(7-3+3+1)}{(3+1)!(7-3)!} \\
&= \frac{(7+1)!}{(3+1)!((7+1)-(3+1))!}.
\end{aligned}$$

Straight text replacement of 7 and 3 with r and k respectively now gives the harder to follow, but more general identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\binom{r}{k+1} + \binom{r}{k} &= \frac{r!}{(k+1)!(r-k-1)!} + \frac{r!}{k!(r-k)!} \\
&= \frac{r!(r-k)}{(k+1)!(r-k)!} + \frac{r!(k+1)}{(k+1)!(r-k)!} \\
&= \frac{r!(r-k+k+1)}{(k+1)!(r-k)!} \\
&= \frac{(r+1)!}{(k+1)!((r+1)-(k+1))!} \\
&= \binom{r+1}{k+1}
\end{aligned}$$

For our commutator we now have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{r+1}(A, B) &= A^{r+1}B + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \binom{r+1}{k+1} A^{r-k} B (-A)^{k+1} + B(-A)^{r+1} \\
&= A^{r+1}B + \sum_{s=1}^r \binom{r+1}{s} A^{r+1-s} B (-A)^s + B(-A)^{r+1} \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{s} A^{r+1-s} B (-A)^s \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

That completes the inductive proof and allows us to write

$$e^A B e^{-A} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} C_r(A, B), \quad (3)$$

Or, in explicit form

$$e^A B e^{-A} = B + \frac{1}{1!} [A, B] + \frac{1}{2!} [A, [A, B]] + \dots \quad (4)$$

References

- [1] BR Desai. *Quantum mechanics with basic field theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2009. 1