# More problems from Liboff chapter 4

Originally appeared at: http://sites.google.com/site/peeterjoot/math2010/liboff43.pdf

# Peeter Joot — peeter.joot@gmail.com June 25, 2010 liboff43.tex

## 1. Motivation.

Some more problems from [1].

# 2. Problem 4.11

Some problems on Hermitian adjoints. The starting point is the definition of the adjoint  $A^{\dagger}$  of A in terms of the inner product

$$\langle \hat{A}^{\dagger} \phi | \psi \rangle = \langle \phi | \hat{A} \psi \rangle$$

2.1. **4.11** *a* 

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi | (a\hat{A} + b\hat{B})\psi \rangle &= a \langle \phi | \hat{A}\psi \rangle + b \langle \phi | \hat{B}\psi \rangle \\ &= a \langle \hat{A}^{\dagger}\phi | \psi \rangle + b \langle \hat{B}^{\dagger}\phi | \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle a^{*}\hat{A}^{\dagger}\phi | \psi \rangle + \langle b^{*}\hat{B}^{\dagger}\phi | \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle (a^{*}\hat{A}^{\dagger} + b^{*}\hat{B}^{\dagger})\phi | \psi \rangle \\ &\Longrightarrow \\ (a\hat{A} + b\hat{B})^{\dagger} &= (a^{*}\hat{A}^{\dagger} + b^{*}\hat{B}^{\dagger}) \end{split}$$

2.2. **4.11** *b* 

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi | \hat{A} \hat{B} \psi \rangle &= \langle \hat{A}^{\dagger} \phi | \hat{B} \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{B}^{\dagger} \hat{A}^{\dagger} \phi | \psi \rangle \\ &\Longrightarrow \\ (\hat{A} \hat{B})^{\dagger} &= \hat{B}^{\dagger} \hat{A}^{\dagger} \end{split}$$

#### 2.3. **4.11** *d*

Hermitian adjoint of  $D^2$ , where  $D = \partial/\partial x$ . Here we need the integral form of the inner product

$$\langle \phi | D^2 \psi \rangle = \int \phi^* \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \\ = -\int \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \\ = \int \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial x} \\ \Longrightarrow \\ (D^2)^{\dagger} = D^2$$

Since the text shows that the square of a Hermitian operator is Hermitian, one perhaps wonders if *D* is (but we expect not since  $\hat{p} = -i\hbar D$  is Hermitian).

Suppose  $\hat{A} = aD$ , we have

$$\hat{A}^{\dagger} = -a^* D,$$

so for this to be Hermitian ( $\hat{A} = \hat{A}^{\dagger}$ ) we must have  $-a^* = a$ . If  $a = re^{i\theta}$ , we have

$$-1 = e^{2i\theta}$$

So  $\theta = \pi(1/2 + n)$ , and  $a = \pm ir$ . This fixes the scalar multiples of *D* that are required to form a Hermitian operator

$$\hat{A} = \pm irD$$

where *r* is any real positive constant.

2.4. **4.11** *e* 

$$(\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A})^{\dagger} = -(\hat{A}^{\dagger}\hat{B}^{\dagger} - \hat{B}^{\dagger}\hat{A}^{\dagger})$$

2.5. **4.11** *f* 

$$(\hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A})^{\dagger} = \hat{A}^{\dagger}\hat{B}^{\dagger} + \hat{B}^{\dagger}\hat{A}^{\dagger}$$

2.6. **4.11** g

$$i(\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A})^{\dagger} = i(\hat{A}^{\dagger}\hat{B}^{\dagger} - \hat{B}^{\dagger}\hat{A}^{\dagger})$$

2.7. **4.11** h

This one was to calculate  $(\hat{A}^{\dagger})^{\dagger}$ . Intuitively I'd expect that  $(\hat{A}^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = \hat{A}$ . How could one show this?

Trying to show this with Dirac notation, I got all mixed up initially.

Using the more straightforward and old fashioned integral notation (as in [2]), this is more straightforward. We have the Hermitian conjugate defined by

$$\int \psi_2^*(\hat{A}\psi_1) = \int (\hat{A}^{\dagger}\psi_2^*)\psi_1,$$

Or, more symmetrically, using braces to indicate operator direction

$$\int \psi_2^*(\hat{A}\psi_1) = \int (\psi_2^*\hat{A}^\dagger)\psi_1.$$

Introduce a couple of variable substuitions for clarity

$$egin{aligned} \phi_1 &= \psi_1^* \ \phi_2 &= \psi_2^* \ \hat{B} &= \hat{A}^{\dagger}. \end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$\int \psi_2^*(\hat{A}\psi_1) = \int (\psi_2^*\hat{A}^\dagger)\psi_1$$
$$= \int (\phi_2\hat{B})\phi_1^*$$
$$= \int \phi_1^*(\hat{B}\phi_2)$$
$$= \int (\phi_1^*\hat{B}^\dagger)\phi_2$$
$$= \int \phi_2(\hat{B}^\dagger\phi_1^*)$$
$$= \int \psi_2^*(\hat{A}^{\dagger\dagger}\psi_1)$$

Since this is true for all  $\psi_k$ , we have  $\hat{A} = \hat{A}^{\dagger\dagger}$  as expected.

Having figured out the problem in the simpleton way, it's now simple to go back and translate this into the Dirac inner product notation without getting muddled. We have

$$\begin{split} \langle \psi_2 | \hat{A} \psi_1 \rangle &= \langle \hat{A}^{\dagger} \psi_2 | \psi_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{B} \phi_2^* | \phi_1^* \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi_1 | \hat{B}^* \phi_2 \rangle^* \\ &= \langle (\hat{B}^*)^{\dagger} \phi_1 | \phi_2 \rangle^* \\ &= \langle \phi_2^* | \hat{B}^{\dagger} \phi_1^* \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_2 | \hat{A}^{\dagger \dagger} \psi_1 \rangle \end{split}$$

2.8. **4.11** *i* 

$$(\hat{A}\hat{A}^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = (\hat{A}^{\dagger})^{\dagger}\hat{A}^{\dagger}$$

since  $(\hat{A}^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = \hat{A}$ 

 $(\hat{A}\hat{A}^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = \hat{A}\hat{A}^{\dagger}.$ 

### 3. Problem 4.12 d

If  $\hat{A}$  is not Hermitian, is the product  $\hat{A}^{\dagger}\hat{A}$  Hermitian? To start we need to verify that  $\langle \psi | \hat{A}^{\dagger} \phi \rangle = \langle \hat{A}\psi | \phi \rangle$ .

$$egin{aligned} &\langle\psi|\hat{A}^{\dagger}\phi
angle &=\langle(\hat{A}^{\dagger})^{*}\phi^{*}|\psi^{*}
angle^{*}\ &=\langle\phi^{*}|\hat{A}^{*}\psi^{*}
angle^{*}\ &=\langle\psi|\hat{A}\psi
angle. \end{aligned}$$

With that verified we have

$$egin{aligned} \langle\psi|\hat{A}^{\dagger}\hat{A}\phi
angle &=\langle\hat{A}\psi|\hat{A}\phi
angle \ &=\langle\hat{A}^{\dagger}\hat{A}\psi|\phi
angle, \end{aligned}$$

so, the answer is yes. Provided the adjoint exists, that product will be Hermitian.

### 4. Problem 4.14

Show that  $\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \langle \hat{A} \rangle^*$  (that it is real), if  $\hat{A}$  is Hermitian. This follows by expansion of that conjuagate

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \hat{A} 
angle^{*} = \left( \int \psi^{*} \hat{A} \psi 
ight)^{*} \ &= \int \psi \hat{A}^{*} \psi^{*} \ &= \int (\hat{A} \psi)^{*} \psi \ &= \langle \hat{A} \psi | \psi 
angle \ &= \langle \psi | \hat{A}^{\dagger} \psi 
angle \ &= \langle \psi | \hat{A} \psi 
angle \ &= \langle \hat{A} 
angle \end{aligned}$$

# References

- [1] R. Liboff. Introductory quantum mechanics. 2003. 1
- [2] D. Bohm. *Quantum Theory*. Courier Dover Publications, 1989. 2.7