PHY1520H Graduate Quantum Mechanics. Lecture 1: Lighting review. Taught by Prof. Arun Paramekanti

Disclaimer Peeter's lecture notes from class. These may be incoherent and rough.

These are notes for the UofT course PHY1520, Graduate Quantum Mechanics, taught by Prof. Paramekanti, covering ch. 1 [1] content.

Classical mechanics We'll be talking about one body physics for most of this course. In classical mechanics we can figure out the particle trajectories using both of $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, where$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} = \frac{1}{m}\mathbf{p}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{\nabla}V$$
(1.1)

A two dimensional phase space as sketched in fig. 1.1 shows the trajectory of a point particle subject to some equations of motion



Figure 1.1: One dimensional classical phase space example

Quantum mechanics For this lecture, we'll work with natural units, setting

$$\hbar = 1. \tag{1.2}$$

In QM we are no longer allowed to think of position and momentum, but have to start asking about state vectors $|\Psi\rangle$.

We'll consider the state vector with respect to some basis, for example, in a position basis, we write

$$\langle x|\Psi\rangle = \Psi(x),\tag{1.3}$$

a complex numbered "wave function", the probability amplitude for a particle in $|\Psi\rangle$ to be in the vicinity of *x*.

We could also consider the state in a momentum basis

$$\langle p|\Psi\rangle = \Psi(p),$$
 (1.4)

a probability amplitude with respect to momentum *p*. More precisely,

$$|\Psi(x)|^2 dx \ge 0 \tag{1.5}$$

is the probability of finding the particle in the range (x, x + dx). To have meaning as a probability, we require

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(x)|^2 dx = 1.$$
 (1.6)

The average position can be calculated using this probability density function. For example

$$\langle x \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(x)|^2 x dx, \qquad (1.7)$$

or

$$\langle f(x) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(x)|^2 f(x) dx.$$
 (1.8)

Similarly, calculation of an average of a function of momentum can be expressed as

$$\langle f(p) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(p)|^2 f(p) dp.$$
(1.9)

Transformation from a position to momentum basis We have a problem, if we which to compute an average in momentum space such as $\langle p \rangle$, when given a wavefunction $\Psi(x)$.

How do we convert

$$\Psi(p) \stackrel{?}{\leftrightarrow} \Psi(x), \tag{1.10}$$

or equivalently

$$\langle p|\Psi\rangle \stackrel{?}{\leftrightarrow} \langle x|\Psi\rangle$$
. (1.11)

Such a conversion can be performed by virtue of an the assumption that we have a complete orthonormal basis, for which we can introduce identity operations such as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \left| p \right\rangle \left\langle p \right| = 1, \tag{1.12}$$

or

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left| x \right\rangle \left\langle x \right| = 1 \tag{1.13}$$

Some interpretations:

- 1. $|x_0\rangle \leftrightarrow \text{sits at} x = x_0$
- 2. $\langle x|x'\rangle \leftrightarrow \delta(x-x')$
- 3. $\langle p | p' \rangle \leftrightarrow \delta(p p')$
- 4. $\langle x | p' \rangle = \frac{e^{ipx}}{\sqrt{V}}$, where *V* is the volume of the box containing the particle. We'll define the appropriate normalization for an infinite box volume later.

The delta function interpretation of the braket $\langle p | p' \rangle$ justifies the identity operator, since we recover any state in the basis when operating with it. For example, in momentum space

$$1 |p\rangle = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp' |p'\rangle \langle p'| \right) |p\rangle$$

=
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp' |p'\rangle \langle p'|p\rangle$$

=
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp' |p'\rangle \delta(p - p')$$

=
$$|p\rangle.$$
 (1.14)

This also the determination of an integral operator representation for the delta function

$$\delta(x - x') = \langle x | x' \rangle$$

= $\int dp \langle x | p \rangle \langle p | x' \rangle$
= $\frac{1}{V} \int dp e^{ipx} e^{-ipx'}$, (1.15)

or

$$\delta(x - x') = \frac{1}{V} \int dp e^{ip(x - x')}.$$
(1.16)

Here we used the fact that $\langle p|x \rangle = \langle x|p \rangle^*$. FIXME: do we have a justification for that conjugation

FIXME: do we have a justification for that conjugation with what was defined here so far? The conversion from a position basis to momentum space is now possible

$$\langle p|\Psi\rangle = \Psi(p) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle p|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi\rangle dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ipx}}{\sqrt{V}} \Psi(x) dx.$$
 (1.17)

The momentum space to position space conversion can be written as

$$\Psi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ipx}}{\sqrt{V}} \Psi(p) dp.$$
(1.18)

Now we can go back and figure out the an expectation

$$\langle p \rangle = \int \Psi^{*}(p)\Psi(p)pdp$$

$$= \int dp \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ipx}}{\sqrt{V}} \Psi^{*}(x)dx \right) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ipx'}}{\sqrt{V}} \Psi(x')dx' \right) p$$

$$= \int dpdxdx'\Psi^{*}(x)\frac{1}{V}e^{ip(x-x')}\Psi(x')p$$

$$= \int dpdxdx'\Psi^{*}(x)\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\frac{1}{V}\int dx'e^{ip(x-x')}\Psi(x')$$

$$= \int dpdx\Psi^{*}(x)\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\int dx' \left(\frac{1}{V}\int dpe^{ip(x-x')}\right)\Psi(x')$$

$$= \int dx\Psi^{*}(x)\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\int dx'\delta(x-x')\Psi(x')$$

$$= \int dx\Psi^{*}(x)\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\int dx'\delta(x-x')\Psi(x')$$

$$= \int dx\Psi^{*}(x)\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\int dx'\delta(x-x')\Psi(x')$$

Here we've essentially calculated the position space representation of the momentum operator, allowing identifications of the following form

$$p \leftrightarrow -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$
 (1.20)

$$p^2 \leftrightarrow -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}.$$
 (1.21)

Alternate starting point. Most of the above results followed from the claim that $\langle x|p \rangle = e^{ipx}$. Note that this position space representation of the momentum operator can also be taken as the starting point. Given that, the exponential representation of the position-momentum braket follows

$$\langle x | P | p \rangle = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \langle x | p \rangle , \qquad (1.22)$$

but $\langle x | P | p \rangle = p \langle x | p \rangle$, providing a differential equation for $\langle x | p \rangle$

$$p\langle x|p\rangle = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \langle x|p\rangle , \qquad (1.23)$$

with solution

$$ipx/\hbar = \ln \langle x|p \rangle + \text{const},$$
 (1.24)

or

$$\langle x|p \rangle \propto e^{ipx/\hbar}.$$
 (1.25)

Matrix interpretation

- 1. Ket's $|\Psi\rangle \leftrightarrow$ column vector
- 2. Bra's $\langle \Psi | \leftrightarrow (\text{row vector})^*$
- 3. Operators \leftrightarrow matrices that act on vectors.

$$\hat{p} |\Psi\rangle \to |\Psi'\rangle$$
 (1.26)

Time evolution For a state subject to the equations of motion given by the Hamiltonian operator \hat{H}

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|\Psi\right\rangle = \hat{H}\left|\Psi\right\rangle,\tag{1.27}$$

the time evolution is given by

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\Psi(0)\rangle.$$
(1.28)

Incomplete information We'll need to introduce the concept of Density matrices. This will bring us to concepts like entanglement.

~

Bibliography

[1] Jun John Sakurai and Jim J Napolitano. Modern quantum mechanics. Pearson Higher Ed, 2014. 1