## Peeter Joot peeterjoot@pm.me

### Momentum of scalar field

### 1.1 Expansion of the field momentum.

Way back in lecture 8, it was claimed that

$$P^{k} = \int d^{3}x \hat{\pi} \partial^{k} \hat{\phi} = \int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} p^{k} a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}.$$
(1.1)

If I compute this, I get a normal ordered variation of this operator, but also get some time dependent terms. Here's the computation (dropping hats)

$$P^{k} = \int d^{3}x \hat{\pi} \partial^{k} \phi$$

$$= \int d^{3}x \partial_{0}\phi \partial^{k} \phi$$

$$= \int d^{3}x \frac{d^{3}p d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{6}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{p} 2\omega_{q}}} \partial_{0} \left( a_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-ip \cdot x} + a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} e^{ip \cdot x} \right) \partial^{k} \left( a_{\mathbf{q}} e^{-iq \cdot x} + a_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} e^{iq \cdot x} \right).$$
(1.2)

The exponential derivatives are

$$\partial_0 e^{\pm ip \cdot x} = \partial_0 e^{\pm ip_\mu x^\mu}$$
  
=  $\pm i p_0 \partial_0 e^{\pm ip \cdot x}$ , (1.3)

and

$$\partial^{k} e^{\pm ip \cdot x} = \partial^{k} e^{\pm ip^{\mu} x_{\mu}}$$
  
=  $\pm i p^{k} e^{\pm ip \cdot x}$ , (1.4)

$$P^{k} = -\int d^{3}x \frac{d^{3}pd^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{6}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{p}2\omega_{q}}} p_{0}q^{k} \left(-a_{p}e^{-ip\cdot x} + a_{p}^{\dagger}e^{ip\cdot x}\right) \left(-a_{q}e^{-iq\cdot x} + a_{q}^{\dagger}e^{iq\cdot x}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\int d^{3}x \frac{d^{3}pd^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{6}} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{p}}{\omega_{q}}} q^{k} \left(a_{p}a_{q}e^{-i(p+q)\cdot x} + a_{p}^{\dagger}a_{q}^{\dagger}e^{i(p+q)\cdot x} - a_{p}a_{q}^{\dagger}e^{i(q-p)\cdot x} - a_{p}^{\dagger}a_{q}e^{i(p-q)\cdot x}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d^{3}pd^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{p}}{\omega_{q}}} q^{k} \left(-a_{p}a_{q}e^{-i(\omega_{p}+\omega_{q})t}\delta^{3}(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}) - a_{p}^{\dagger}a_{q}^{\dagger}e^{i(\omega_{p}+\omega_{q})t}\delta^{3}(-\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}) + a_{p}a_{q}^{\dagger}e^{i(\omega_{p}-\omega_{q})t}\delta^{3}(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p})\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} p^{k} \left(a_{p}^{\dagger}a_{p} + a_{p}a_{p}^{\dagger} - a_{p}a_{-p}e^{-2i\omega_{p}t} - a_{p}^{\dagger}a_{-p}^{\dagger}e^{2i\omega_{p}t}\right).$$

$$(1.5)$$

What is the rationale for ignoring those time dependent terms? Does normal ordering also implicitly drop any non-paired creation/annihilation operators? If so, why?

#### 1.2 Conservation of the field momentum.

This follows up on unanswered questions related to the apparent time dependent terms in the previous expansion of  $P^i$  for a scalar field.

It turns out that examining the reasons that we can say that the field momentum is conserved also sheds some light on the question.  $P^i$  is not an a-priori conserved quantity, but we may use the charge conservation argument to justify this despite it not having a four-vector nature (i.e. with zero four divergence.)

The momentum  $P^i$  that we have defined is related to the conserved quantity  $T^{0\mu}$ , the energymomentum tensor, which satisfies  $0 = \partial_{\mu}T^{0\mu}$  by Noether's theorem (this was the conserved quantity associated with a spacetime translation.)

That tensor was

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}\phi\partial^{\nu}\phi - g^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}, \qquad (1.6)$$

and can be used to define the momenta

$$\int d^3x T^{0k} = \int d^3x \partial^0 \phi \partial^k \phi$$

$$= \int d^3x \pi \partial^k \phi.$$
(1.7)

Charge  $Q^i = \int d^3x j^0$  was conserved with respect to a limiting surface argument, and we can make a similar "beer can integral" argument for  $P^i$ , integrating over a large time interval  $t \in [-T, T]$  as

so

sketched in fig. 1.1. That is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_{\mu} \int d^{4}x T^{0\mu} \\ &= \partial_{0} \int d^{4}x T^{00} + \partial_{k} \int d^{4}x T^{0k} \\ &= \partial_{0} \int_{-T}^{T} dt \int d^{3}x T^{00} + \partial_{k} \int_{-T}^{T} dt \int d^{3}x T^{0k} \\ &= \partial_{0} \int_{-T}^{T} dt \int d^{3}x T^{00} + \partial_{k} \int_{-T}^{T} dt \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} p^{k} \left( a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}} + a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} - a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{-\mathbf{p}} e^{-2i\omega_{\mathbf{p}}t} - a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} a_{-\mathbf{p}} e^{2i\omega_{\mathbf{p}}t} \right) \\ &= \int d^{3}x T^{00} \Big|_{-T}^{T} + T \partial_{k} \int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} p^{k} \left( a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}} + a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{k} \int_{-T}^{T} dt \int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} p^{k} \left( a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{-\mathbf{p}} e^{-2i\omega_{\mathbf{p}}t} + a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} a_{-\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} e^{2i\omega_{\mathbf{p}}t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\tag{1.8}$$



Figure 1.1: Cylindrical spacetime boundary.

The first integral can be said to vanish if the field energy goes to zero at the time boundaries, and the last integral reduces to

$$-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{k}\int_{-T}^{T}dt\int\frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}}p^{k}\left(a_{\mathbf{p}}a_{-\mathbf{p}}e^{-2i\omega_{\mathbf{p}}t}+a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}a_{-\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}e^{2i\omega_{\mathbf{p}}t}\right)$$
  
$$=-\int\frac{d^{3}p}{2(2\pi)^{3}}p^{k}\left(a_{\mathbf{p}}a_{-\mathbf{p}}\frac{\sin(-2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}T)}{-2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}+a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}a_{-\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\frac{\sin(2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}T)}{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}\right)$$
  
$$=-\int\frac{d^{3}p}{2(2\pi)^{3}}p^{k}\left(a_{\mathbf{p}}a_{-\mathbf{p}}+a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}a_{-\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}\right)\frac{\sin(2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}T)}{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}.$$
(1.9)

The sin term can be interpretted as a sinc like function of  $\omega_p$  which vanishes for large **p**. It's not entirely sinc like for a massive field as  $\omega_p = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$ , which never hits zero, as shown in fig. 1.2. Vanishing for large **p** doesn't help the whole integral vanish, but we can resort to the Riemann-Lebesque



**Figure 1.2:**  $\sin(2\omega_p T)/\omega_p$ 

lemma [1] instead and interpret this integral as one with a plain old high frequency oscillation that is presumed to vanish (i.e. the rest is well behaved enough that it can be labelled as  $L_1$  integrable.)

We see that only the non-time dependent portion of **P** matters from a conserved quantity point of view, and having killed off all the time dependent terms, we are left with a conservation relationship for the momenta  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P} = 0$ , where **P** in normal order is just

$$:\mathbf{P}:=\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\mathbf{p}a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}a_{\mathbf{p}}.$$
(1.10)

# Bibliography

 [1] Wikipedia contributors. Riemann-lebesgue lemma — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2018. URL https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Riemann%E2%80%93Lebesgue\_ lemma&oldid=856778941. [Online; accessed 29-October-2018]. 1.2